

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 58 of 2005

Between:

Ch. Krishnaiah, S/o. Ch. Bhoomaiah,
R/o. H.No. 6-6-91, Gandhi Road, Karimnagar.

..... PETITIONER

AND

- 1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Karimnagar, Karimnagar District.
- 2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Karimnagar Division, Karimnagar District.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction particularly a Writ in the nature of Mandamus by declaring the action of the 1st Respondent in not considering the representation of the Petitioner along with Petitioners in W.P. No.1808/1999 as illegal, arbitrary and consequently direct the 1st Respondent to consider the representation of the Petitioner along with Petitioners in W.P. No.1808/1999.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.K.RAJA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court at the stage of admission made the following ORDER:

Petitioner claims to be purchased the land to an extent of 11 guntas 43 Sq.yards in Survey No.622/20 situated at Rampur locality in Karimnagar town along with petitioner No.14 in WP.No.1808 of 1999 viz., Smt. I.Mani, W/o Narsingam. She filed a representation before the first respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer, Karimnagar, for regularization of pattas and possession in the light of the orders passed by this Court in the said writ petition dated 02-08-2004.

The gravamen of the complaint in the present writ petition is that though the petitioner made a representation before the first respondent, he was informed that his representation was not considered unless he obtained a similar order and the first respondent issued notice to all the petitioners in WP.No.1808 of 1999 for regularization of land in their occupation and posted the matter to 18-01-2005.

Without expressing any opinion that the petitioner has purchased the said property along with Smt. I.Mani jointly, it is suffice if a direction is issued to the first respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner along with others in WP.No.1808 of 1999.

The writ petition is disposed of directing the first respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner along with others in WP.No.1808 of 1999. However, this order cannot be understood that this Court accepted the claim of the petitioner about his purchase of property jointly. It is for the first respondent to examine the same on the relevant material produced by the petitioner during the course of enquiry and decide the same after due notice to the party, who is likely to be affected

by such decision. No costs.

11th JANUARY, 2005.

kvni

To

- 1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Karimnagar, Karimnagar District.
- 2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Karimnagar Division, Karimnagar District.
- 3 Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Revenue, A.P.High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).
- 4 Two CD copies.